

Electroweak Strings: A Progress Report*

Tanmay Vachaspati

*Tufts Institute of Cosmology,
Department of Physics and Astronomy,
Tufts University, Medford, MA 02155.*

The standard model for the electroweak interactions is known^{1,2,3,4} to contain vortex solutions that are the usual Nielsen-Olesen $U(1)$ vortices⁵ embedded in the larger electroweak symmetry group $SU(2) \times U(1)$. After briefly describing these vortex solutions, I will discuss their stability and argue that the presence of bound states can stabilize them. Then I will draw an intimate connection between the sphaleron² and electroweak strings and show how the sphaleron can be reinterpreted as a segment or a loop of electroweak string⁶.

In the notation of Ref. 7, the three linearly independent electroweak string solutions are the W^1 string,

$$\Phi = f_{NO}(r)e^{i\tau^1\theta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_\mu^1 = -\frac{v_{NO}(r)}{r}\hat{e}_\theta \quad (1)$$

the W^2 string,

$$\Phi = f_{NO}(r)e^{i\tau^2\theta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_\mu^2 = -\frac{v_{NO}(r)}{r}\hat{e}_\theta \quad (2)$$

and the Z string,

$$\Phi = f_{NO}(r)e^{iT\theta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_\mu = -\frac{v_{NO}(r)}{r}\hat{e}_\theta. \quad (3)$$

In the above equations, (r, θ, z) are cylindrical coordinates, the subscript NO stands for the solution that Nielsen-Olesen found and the fields not

* Talk given at Texas/Pascos 1992 at Berkeley.

explicitly shown are zero. (For example, in the Z string, $W_\mu^1 = 0 = W_\mu^2 = A_\mu$.) The matrix T is the generator associated with the Z gauge field: $T = \text{diag}(-\cos 2\theta_W, 1)$.

Of these three solutions, the W^1 string is gauge equivalent to the W^2 string. The reason for listing both the W^1 and W^2 strings separately is that one may have a loop of string which consists of segments of W^1 string and W^2 string and such a loop is not gauge equivalent to a loop of pure W^1 or pure W^2 string.

The vortex solutions in the electroweak model are not topological and so their stability is not guaranteed. Indeed, extensive stability analyses have shown^{8,9} that the Z string is stable only in a region very close to $\sin^2\theta_W = 1$. Simple analytic arguments have also shown⁶ that the Z string is unstable when $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.5$ and that W strings are unstable. On the other hand, it has been shown¹⁰ that the presence of bound states on the strings can dramatically improve their stability. It is this issue that we discuss first.

The electroweak model contains leptons and quarks in addition to the bosonic fields that make up the strings. In any realistic setting - such as the early universe - where we expect the formation of strings, these other fermionic fields will also be present and so it would be incorrect to consider the properties of the string solutions in the complete absence of these fields. In extensions of the standard electroweak model, one has additional scalar fields too. So the question is if the other fields can make a difference to the stability of the string.

To answer this question we note that the leptons and quarks carry various conserved charges and also get a mass by the Higgs mechanism when the Higgs field acquires a vacuum expectation value. (The neutrinos remain massless and so the following discussion does not apply to them.) Therefore these particles are massless inside the string where the Higgs field vanishes and are massive outside the string where the Higgs field acquires its vacuum expectation value. To be specific, consider putting an electron in the vicinity of a string. The electron experiences a potential well since it is massless inside the string and massive outside. This potential well is in two dimensions and will always have a bound state. Hence the electron will form a bound state with the string¹¹. Then we could add more electrons to the string and construct a string “atom”. The total number of electrons that can be put in this atom will be limited by the repulsion between the electrons. But it is not

necessary to consider the case where we only put a single species of particle on the string. For example, we could put electrically neutral combinations of quarks and leptons on the string and then it would be possible to increase the number of bound states. If we are thinking of some extension of the standard electroweak model, we also have the possibility of dressing the string with scalar field bound states.

Let us now examine the stability of the string in the presence of such bound states. From the perspective of the particle that is bound to the string, it is unfavorable for the string to decay because then the particle would have to acquire a mass. Therefore, the bound particles have a tendency to maintain the string configuration and, hence, stabilize the string. Recall that this is the very argument that allows for the existence of non-topological solitons¹² or even for the stability of ordinary atomic nuclei.

The above arguments show that by putting particles on the electroweak strings, they can be made more stable. To improve the stability further, one would have to keep on adding more and more of the conserved charge that the particles carry. But can any string solution be stabilized in this way? This is a dynamical question and the answer will depend on the charges and the masses of the particles, the coupling constants and the precise particle content that is put on the string. But to get an idea of how strong the effect of bound states can be, it is good to consider a simple toy model in which the electroweak theory has an additional complex scalar field that does not couple to the gauge fields. Such a field does not exist in the standard electroweak model but it could arise in an extension.

The Lagrangian for the electroweak model with the extra scalar field, χ is,

$$L = L_{ew} + |\partial_\mu \chi|^2 - \lambda_2 |\chi|^4 - \lambda_3 (\Phi^\dagger \Phi \pm m^2) |\chi|^2 \quad (4)$$

where L_{ew} is the standard electroweak Lagrangian. If the minus sign is chosen in front of m^2 , some constraints on the coupling constants must be satisfied for the true vacuum to be at $\chi = 0$.

The model now has an extra global $U(1)_{gl}$ symmetry in addition to the usual $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauged symmetry. The global charge corresponding to this extra symmetry is conserved and χ bound states carry a fixed amount of $U(1)_{gl}$ charge. The stability of the Z -string with χ bound states was analyzed in detail in Ref. 10 and the result is shown in Fig. 1 for some generic values of the parameters. The salient features of the plot in Fig.

1 are that when there are no bound states (zero charge on the string) the smallest value of $\sin^2\theta_W$ for which we find stability is roughly 0.92. Then, as the charge on the string is increased, the critical value of $\sin^2\theta_W$ drops very rapidly at first and then levels off. After this stage, adding more charge only slightly improves the stability. The smallest value of $\sin^2\theta_W$ at which we got stability was 0.46 for our choice of parameters. We feel that a more thorough exploration of parameter space would have yielded even smaller values of $\sin^2\theta_W$ but did not pursue this question since what we are investigating is, after all, only a toy model.

There are some special features of the toy model in (4) that are absent from the standard electroweak model (but may be present in extensions of it). The main such feature is that since the force between two $U(1)_{gl}$ charges is mediated only by spin 0 particles, χ charges attract. Due to this feature there is an instability in the χ distribution along the string - the linear distribution of charge is unstable to clumping up in spherical lumps¹³ and the cylindrical string is unstable to forming spherical bulges. There are two ways around this instability. The first way is to realize that this instability only involves long wavelength perturbations along the string¹³ and so it would not be present if we only have relatively short segments or small loops of string. Indeed, the production of long metastable strings is expected to be exponentially suppressed in any production mechanism and so there is a good chance that there is no need to worry about the clumping instability. The second way out is if the force between the particles on the string is also mediated by vector bosons and is repulsive or only very weakly attractive. Then the linear distribution of charge is preferred over the spherical distribution and the clumping instability is absent. (On the other hand, it is easier to keep on adding charge to the string if the force between charges is attractive and so one would expect to be able to pack less charge on the string when the force between charges is repulsive.)

To summarize the above discussion: it is clear that bound states dramatically improve the stability of electroweak strings but it is yet to be seen if the standard model with $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$ or some extension of it contains stable string solutions.

I would now like to discuss another unstable solution contained in the standard electroweak model - the sphaleron². The sphaleron solution when

$\sin^2\theta_W \approx 0$ is¹⁴:

$$\Phi = f(r) \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta e^{i\phi} \\ \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \equiv f(r)U \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_\mu \equiv W_\mu^a \tau^a = -iv(r)(\partial_\mu U)U^{-1} \quad (5)$$

where we are now using spherical coordinates and τ^a are the Pauli spin matrices. There will also be an electromagnetic field accompanying this field configuration and it can be calculated¹⁴ to first order in θ_W . The back-reaction of the electromagnetic field on the sphaleron configuration is second order in θ_W and can be ignored in the limit that we are considering.

Now the matrix U is a unitary matrix and may be written as:

$$U = \exp[i\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\tau}] \quad (6)$$

where, $\hat{n} = (\sin\phi, \cos\phi, 0)$. Therefore the sphaleron Higgs configuration is that of a W string loop in the xy -plane provided the θ angle in eqs. (1) and (2) is taken to run from 0 to π . The loop, however, does not consist of a pure W^1 or W^2 string; instead at $\phi = 0$ it is made of W^2 string, at $\phi = \pi/2$ it is W^1 string and so on. The gauge field configuration is chosen to be such that the fields at infinity are pure gauge. This leads to the first interpretation of the sphaleron⁶: the sphaleron is a circular loop of $W^1 - W^2$ string that has collapsed to zero radius. A consequence of this interpretation is that if we were to start with such a loop but of a larger radius in the xy -plane, it would collapse into the sphaleron configuration of (5).

Another interpretation of the sphaleron is possible if we consider the $\theta = \pi/2$ section of the sphaleron. In this section, the Higgs configuration is that of the Z -string (except for a trivial global rotation) in (3). Therefore if we were to stretch the sphaleron along the z -axis, we would get a segment of Z -string. But we know that the Z -string has to end on magnetic monopoles¹ and so the sphaleron can be viewed as a collapsed segment of Z -string in which a monopole and anti-monopole sit adjacent to one another. This interpretation makes it immediately obvious that the sphaleron has to have a magnetic dipole moment.

The connection of the sphaleron with the magnetic monopole pair can be seen directly from the Higgs configuration for the monopole¹:

$$\Phi = \bar{f}(r) \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi} \sin\theta/2 \\ \cos\theta/2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

The sphaleron Higgs configuration in (5) covers the entire monopole configuration when θ in the sphaleron goes from 0 to $\pi/2$. And when we take θ to go from $\pi/2$ to π in the sphaleron, we cover the anti-monopole configuration. Therefore the northern hemisphere of the sphaleron configuration describes a magnetic monopole while the southern hemisphere describes an anti-monopole. The monopole-anti-monopole pair are connected by a Z -string which runs parallel to the z -axis and is of zero length. This is the second interpretation of the sphaleron⁶. A consequence is that, if segments of Z -string were produced during, say, the electroweak phase transition, these would collapse into the sphaleron configuration.

The sphaleron is a saddle-point solution in the electroweak model and the unstable direction has been described in Ref. 2. The above interpretations of the sphaleron describe the stable directions in configuration space. That is, if the sphaleron is perturbed to a higher energy configuration in certain directions - in directions that “go up the saddle” - it would deform into segments of Z -string or loops of W -string.

The sphaleron plays an important role in baryon number violating processes at high temperatures and so we expect that electroweak strings could play a similar role. However, the energy of the sphaleron is the smallest of all such configurations and hence can be expected to play the dominant role in the production or dissipation of baryon number.

As discussed above, there is a possibility that loops and segments of strings can be stabilized by the presence of bound states on the strings. The same mechanism might work for the sphaleron in which case one would have a metastable particle configuration in the electroweak model. The eventual decay of this particle would lead to the production of baryon number. While we do not know for certain if the stabilizing mechanism will work for Nature’s choice of parameters, it is quite likely that the sphaleron will require a significant amount of charge to stabilize it. In the context of the early universe, the cross-section for forming bound states on the sphaleron could be considerably enhanced if segments or loops of string could slowly collapse and sweep up the required amount of charge into the sphaleron configuration. In that case, the problem of packing the sphaleron with a sufficient amount of charge would seem to be alleviated.

Acknowledgements:

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

1. Nambu, Y. 1977. Nucl. Phys. B**130**: 505; Huang, K. & R. Tipton. 1981. Phys. Rev. D**23**: 3050.
2. Manton, N. S. 1983. Phys. Rev. D**28**: 2019.
3. Vachaspati, T. 1992. Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**: 1977; Vachaspati, T. 1992. Nucl. Phys. B, in press.
4. Vachaspati, T. & M. Barriola. 1992. Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**: 1867.
5. Nielsen, H. B. & P. Olesen. 1973. Nucl. Phys. B**61**: 45.
6. Barriola, M., M. Bucher & T. Vachaspati, in preparation.
7. Taylor, J. C. 1976. “Gauge Theories of Weak Interactions”, Cambridge University Press.
8. James, M., L. Perivolaropoulos & T. Vachaspati. 1992. Phys. Rev. D**46**: R5232.
9. Perkins, W. 1992. University of Sussex preprint.
10. Vachaspati, T. & R. Watkins. 1992. TUTP-92-10.
11. This is similar to what happens when we have zero modes on the string: Jackiw, R. & P. Rossi. 1981. Nucl. Phys. B**190** [FS3]: 681.
12. Lee, T. D. 1976. *In* Proceedings of the Conference on Extended Systems in Field Theory [Phys. Rep. **23C**: 254].
13. Copeland, E., R. Kolb & K. Lee. 1988. Phys. Rev. D**38**: 3023.
14. Klinkhammer, F. R. & N. S. Manton. 1984. Phys. Rev. D**30**: 2212.

Figure Caption

The value of $\sin^2\theta_W$ above which strings with a certain amount of charge per unit length $\bar{q}$ are stable plotted versus $\bar{q}$ for some generic values of the parameters.